

## Section A

You **must** answer this question.

<b>1. 'CCP governance is unable to keep up with a rapidly changing China.' Discuss.</b>	
<b>Level / grades</b>	<b>Contextualised level descriptors</b>
<b>L1 (1-5m)</b>	Answer contains some relevant ideas without addressing the question.
<b>L2 (6-10m)</b>	Answer contains assertions and understanding, but question not addressed.
<b>L3 (11-15m)</b>	Clearly explained argument is made on how CCP governance is able to and/or unable to keep up with a rapidly changing China.
<b>L4 (16-20m)</b>	Arguments on whether CCP governance is able to keep up with a rapidly changing China are partially analysed.
<b>L5 (21-25m)</b>	Arguments on whether CCP governance is able to keep up with a rapidly changing China are thoroughly analysed.

## Section B

Answer any **two** questions from this section.

<b>2. To what extent is the Chinese Communist Party tolerant of the rise of religiosity within China?</b>	
<b>Level / grades</b>	<b>Contextualised level descriptors</b>
<b>L1 (1-5m)</b>	Answer contains some relevant ideas without addressing the question.
<b>L2 (6-10m)</b>	Answer contains assertions and understanding, but question not addressed.
<b>L3 (11-15m)</b>	Clearly explained argument is made on how CCP has been and/or has not been tolerant of the rise of religiosity within China.
<b>L4 (16-20m)</b>	Arguments on whether CCP is tolerant of rising religiosity within China are partially analysed.
<b>L5 (21-25m)</b>	Arguments on whether CCP is tolerant of rising religiosity within China are thoroughly analysed.

<b>3. Assess the view that rising energy demands rather than environmental problems posed a more serious threat to China's economic sustainability.</b>	
<b>Level / grades</b>	<b>Contextualised level descriptors</b>
<b>L1 (1-5m)</b>	Answer contains some relevant ideas without addressing the question.
<b>L2 (6-10m)</b>	Answer contains assertions and understanding, but question not addressed.
<b>L3 (11-15m)</b>	Clearly explained argument is made on how rising energy demands have and/or have not posed as a more serious challenge than environmental problems to China's economic sustainability.
<b>L4 (16-20m)</b>	Arguments on whether rising energy demands are more serious than environmental problems at challenging China's economic sustainability are partially analysed.
<b>L5 (21-25m)</b>	Arguments on whether rising energy demands are more serious than environmental problems at challenging China's economic sustainability are thoroughly analysed.

<b>4. "Compared to the differences with USA, conflict with Japan is a more serious challenge to China's global status." Discuss.</b>	
<b>Level / grades</b>	<b>Contextualised level descriptors</b>
<b>L1 (1-5m)</b>	Answer contains some relevant ideas without addressing the question.
<b>L2 (6-10m)</b>	Answer contains assertions and understanding, but question not addressed.
<b>L3 (11-15m)</b>	Clearly explained argument is made on how differences with USA are and/or are not a more serious challenge to China's global status than conflict with Japan.
<b>L4 (16-20m)</b>	Arguments on whether conflict with Japan is more serious than differences with USA at challenging China's global status are partially analysed.
<b>L5 (21-25m)</b>	Arguments on whether conflict with Japan is more serious than differences with USA at challenging China's global status are thoroughly analysed.

[Total: 75]

**End of Paper**